

June 18, 2014



Second Circuit Says Government Can't Seize Electronic Data Now, Keep It Forever, and Search It Later

When law enforcement officials execute warrants for electronic data, they usually create a mirror image of hard drives and other electronic storage media. In recent years, it has become more common for law enforcement to keep every bit and byte they obtain from doing so. But the Second Circuit's June 17 opinion in *United States v. Ganius* should put a stop to this practice, thanks to the successful advocacy of Day Pitney's [Stanley A. Twardy Jr.](#), [Daniel E. Wenner](#) and [John W. Cerreta](#).

In *Ganius*, federal agents obtained a search warrant to search the computers of Stavros Ganius, a CPA, for evidence regarding purported wrongdoing by an accounting client. Instead of taking Ganius's computers, the agents mirror-imaged three hard drives, which included large quantities of data outside the warrant's scope. Twenty-three months later, when the agents decided to pursue an investigation of Ganius himself, they obtained a new search warrant and used it to search those mirror images for evidence of alleged wrongdoing by Ganius. The trial court denied Ganius's suppression motion, and the evidence found on the mirror-imaged hard drives was used to convict him. Ganius appealed the denial of his pretrial suppression motion.

On appeal, Day Pitney argued that this practice effectively turned lawful search warrants into unlawful general warrants, thereby contravening the Fourth Amendment. The Second Circuit agreed, reversing the denial of suppression and vacating Ganius's conviction. The court held that even in the face of changing technology, the Fourth Amendment does not permit officials to seize and indefinitely retain electronic files outside a warrant's scope. As a result, the government will no longer be allowed to retain indefinitely mirror-image files seized outside the scope of a lawful warrant.

For a summary of the opinion, click [here](#). To read about the importance of the opinion, click [here](#), [here](#), [here](#) or [here](#). To read the opinion, click [here](#).

Related practice areas:

[White Collar Defense and Internal Investigations](#)

For more information, please contact any of the individuals listed below:

Stanley A. Twardy Jr. ^{CT, DC}
satwardy@daypitney.com
(203) 977 7368

Daniel E. Wenner ^{CT, MA, NY}
dwenner@daypitney.com
(860) 275 0465

John W. Cerreta ^{CT, NY}
jcerreta@daypitney.com
(860) 275 0665

Bar Admissions: Connecticut ^{CT} Massachusetts ^{MA} New York ^{NY}
Washington, DC ^{DC}

This communication is provided for educational and informational purposes only and is not intended and should not be construed as legal advice. This communication may be deemed advertising under applicable state laws. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

If you have any questions regarding this communication, please contact Day Pitney LLP at 7 Times Square, New York, NY 10036, (212) 297 5800.

© 2014, Day Pitney LLP | 7 Times Square | New York | NY | 10036