

December 2010



White Collar Roundup

The Honest-Services-Fraud Saga Continues for Mr. Black.

The Seventh Circuit denied an en banc review of the panel's refusal to reverse all of Conrad Black's fraud convictions. In October, a panel reversed two of the fraud convictions in light of the Supreme Court's Skilling opinion but let the pecuniary-fraud conviction stand.

And in Other Honest-Services-Fraud News . . .

The Ninth Circuit held, over a dissent and in spite of a conflict among the circuits, that prosecutors do not have to prove that a defendant charged with honest-services mail fraud breached a fiduciary duty to obtain a conviction.

More Insider-Trading Wiretaps?

After the district court in the *Rajaratnam* prosecution denied the defense motion to suppress the wiretaps, perhaps the government will look toward using the wiretaps in more insider-trading and other white-collar investigations. Only time will tell.

WikiLeaks and Espionage?

The U.S. House of Representatives Committee on the Judiciary held hearings about whether the founder of WikiLeaks could be prosecuted under portions of the Espionage Act of 1917, which prohibits the dissemination of information relating to the national defense. So far, the jury's out.

Looking for Clarity for the FCPA.

At a hearing of the U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary's Subcommittee on Crime and Drugs, senators and witnesses expressed their frustration with the DOJ's enforcement of the FCPA. A common complaint was the lack of clarity for what constitutes an FCPA violation and what conduct will result in a government enforcement action. To watch the testimony (which starts at about the thirty-minute mark), grab some popcorn and click here.

Related practice areas:

[White Collar Defense and Internal Investigations Practice](#)

For further information about how Day Pitney can assist you with government or internal investigations, please contact any of the following attorneys:

Michael G. Considine ^{NY, CT, NJ}
mconsidine@daypitney.com
 (212) 297 2479
 (203) 977 7330

James R. DeVita ^{NY}
jdevita@daypitney.com
 (212) 297 2429

David J. Elliott ^{CT}
djelliott@daypitney.com
 (860) 275 0196

Helen Harris ^{CT, NY}
hharris@daypitney.com
 (203) 977 7418

Dennis T. Kearney ^{NJ}
dkearney@daypitney.com
 (973) 966 8039

John J. O'Reilly ^{NJ}
joreilly@daypitney.com
 (973) 966 8043

Jeffrey Plotkin ^{CT, NY}
jplotkin@daypitney.com
 (203) 977 7547

Edgardo Ramos ^{NY, CT}
eramos@daypitney.com
 (212) 297 2452

Stanley A. Twardy, Jr. ^{CT, DC}
satwardy@daypitney.com
 (203) 977 7368

Daniel E. Wenner ^{CT, MA, NY}
dwenner@daypitney.com
 (860) 275 0465

No Victim? No Restitution? Not Fine.

The Third Circuit [held](#) that a district judge could not convert restitution to a fine simply because the identifiable victims could not be found. The court noted that imposition of a fine required the sentencing judge to consider the appropriateness of a fine under the Guidelines and [18 U.S.C. § 3572\(a\)](#).

Dancing Around the Foreign-Cubed Dilemma.

The U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York has [added](#) some old-fashioned wire- and mail-fraud counts in a case otherwise susceptible to dismissal, in light of the Supreme Court's [Morrison](#) ruling. *Morrison* barred securities-fraud cases under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 if the securities were bought or sold outside the United States by foreign securities issuers in transactions in foreign countries. The superseding indictment adds conduct (such as using the mail) in the United States, apparently to circumvent the foreign-cubed problem.

Blame the Lawyers, Too.

The U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York [announced](#) the filing of an indictment against a lawyer who allegedly helped facilitate a Ponzi scheme. The same day, the SEC filed an [amended complaint](#) to include the lawyer in its case against the other defendants in its Ponzi-scheme case. The two agencies charge that the lawyer used escrow accounts to help perpetrate the scheme by laundering funds.

About Day Pitney LLP

Day Pitney LLP is a full-service law firm with approximately 350 attorneys operating in nine offices in New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, Boston, and Washington, D.C. The firm offers clients strong corporate and litigation practices, with experience representing large national and international corporations as well as emerging- and middle-market companies and individuals.

Lawyers in our [White Collar Defense and Internal Investigations Practice](#) have the resources, skills, and experience necessary to protect our clients' interests whenever they are confronted by a government investigation, whether at the local, regional, national, or international level. Our clients include Fortune 50 corporations, private companies, universities, and individuals. We have also conducted comprehensive and conclusive internal investigations for our clients and have helped them strengthen their regulatory compliance programs and ethics plans.

Bar Admissions: Connecticut ^{CT} Massachusetts ^{MA} New Jersey ^{NJ} New York ^{NY}
Washington, DC ^{DC}

This communication is provided for educational and informational purposes only and is not intended and should not be construed as legal advice. This communication may be deemed advertising under applicable state laws. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

If you have any questions regarding this communication, please contact Day Pitney LLP at 7 Times Square, New York, NY 10036, T: (212) 297 5800.

© 2010, Day Pitney LLP | 7 Times Square | New York | NY | 10036