

Jonathan B. Tropp

Partner

New Haven, CT | (203) 977-7337

jbtropp@daypitney.com

Overview

Jonathan "Jon" Tropp's complex commercial litigation practice includes patent, trademark, copyright, and trade secret litigation. Jon has represented both plaintiffs and defendants ranging from international corporations in nine-figure cases to closely held businesses. In addition to intellectual property cases, Jon has defended, among others, antitrust, insurance coverage, and shareholder litigation matters, including class actions. He serves as mediator in commercial cases. Jon is a registered patent attorney.

Jon is actively engaged in the firm's efforts to promote diversity in the legal profession as a member of the Day Pitney Alliance.

**No aspect of these advertisements have been approved by the highest court of any state. See Awards Methodology.*

Firm Leadership

- Leader, Discovery Practice

Experience

No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the highest court of any state. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

Tried to defense verdict claims of fraud, breach of warranty, and unfair trade practices against international manufacturer of mass spectrometers

Tried to defense verdict constructive discharge and earn-out claims on behalf of buyer

Representing defendant manufacturer of complex analytical instruments against claims of fraud and breach of warranty in the Central District of California

Representing beverage manufacturer in consumer fraud class action

Secured dismissal of multiple patent and common law claims against international ecommerce vendor, invalidating multiple patents

Secured dismissal of claims of fraud, negligence, and unfair trade practices against international technology company

Successfully represented manufacturer of industrial cutting equipment as plaintiff in copyright lawsuit

Practices & Industries

Discovery

Intellectual Property & Technology

Intellectual Property Litigation

Litigation

Patent Litigation

Patent Prosecution & Counseling

Post Grant Proceedings

Trademark, Copyright & Advertising

Served as monitoring counsel for copyright and trademark infringement action in the Northern District of California

Successfully defended commercial real estate developer against trademark infringement claim in the District of Connecticut, defeating motion for preliminary injunction

Successfully defended manufacturer of unmanned aerial vehicles as insurance defense counsel in trademark litigation in the Southern District of New York

Represented world-famous manufacturer of engine valves and brakes in multi-patent suit in the District of Connecticut and before the International Trade Commission

Successfully represented plaintiff in patent infringement case involving mass spectrometry in the District of Massachusetts

Successfully represented financial services company against claims of infringing a business method patent in District of Delaware

Successfully represented publisher of world famous book series in a commercial dispute in Connecticut Complex Litigation docket

Successfully defended manufacturer of organic coolant and flavor compositions against patents claiming topical and ingestible coolants and flavorants in District of New Jersey

Obtained summary judgment, affirmed on appeal, of noninfringement on behalf of manufacturer of digital televisions in District of Delaware

Obtained summary judgment, affirmed on appeal, dismissing trade secret misappropriation and fraud claims against international instrument manufacturers; partial summary judgment of invalidity of patent in District of Connecticut

Successfully defended manufacturer of optical fibers against multi-patent infringement claims in District of Connecticut

Defeated motion for preliminary injunction, after hearing in District of Connecticut, against a major reinsurer in defense of a trademark infringement action; case settled favorably after denial of injunction was affirmed on appeal in Second Circuit Court of Appeal

Overturned Connecticut Sunday-closing law through constitutional challenge before the Connecticut Supreme Court

Education and Credentials

Education

Harvard Law School, J.D., *magna cum laude*, 1991, executive editor, *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology*

Harvard College, A.B., *magna cum laude*, 1988, Biochemistry

Admissions

State of Connecticut

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

U.S. District Court, District of Connecticut

U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

U.S. Supreme Court

Affiliations

International Trademark Association

Connecticut Intellectual Property Law Association

Hon. Janet Bont Arterton Intellectual Property Inn of Court, past pupillage group leader

Connecticut Bar Association, Intellectual Property Section, executive committee

New Haven County Bar Association

Connecticut Bar Foundation, James W. Cooper Fellow

Recognition and Community

No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the highest court of any state. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. See Awards Methodology.

Recognitions

Selected as Intellectual Property Litigation Lawyer of the Year for the New Haven Metro Area by *The Best Lawyers in America* (Woodward/White, Inc.), 2025, 2027

Chosen for inclusion in *The Best Lawyers in America* (Woodward/White, Inc.) for Intellectual Property Litigation, 2023-2026

Named to list of *IP Stars* by Managing Intellectual Property (Euromoney Institutional Investor PLC), 2022-2025

Selected to the list of Connecticut *Super Lawyers*®, Intellectual Property Litigation, 2006, 2010-2026

Recipient of the Anti-Defamation League Daniel R. Ginsberg Leadership Award, 2009

AV Preeminent rated by Martindale-Hubbell

Community Involvement

Town of Trumbull, CT Equity, Diversity and Inclusion Task Force, Vice Chair

Day Pitney Alliance, Leadership Committee

District of Connecticut, Ad Hoc Committee on Local Patent Rules, past member

District of Connecticut, Advisory Committee on Local Civil Rules, past member

Anti-Defamation League, past member of Connecticut Executive Committee, Regional Board, and Civil Rights Committee, past Chair

Insights

AI in Litigation: Promoting Innovation While Managing Risk
Day Pitney Advisory, March 23, 2026

Patently Enabled January 2026 – New Year, New Inventions: The Meaning of 'Patent Pending'
Day Pitney Patently Enabled Newsletter, January 6, 2026

Patently Enabled February 2024 – What is the Disclosure-Dedication Doctrine?
February 2, 2024

Patently Enabled December 2023 – Tax Consequences of Losing, Conveying, and Litigating a Patent
December 1, 2023

Patently Enabled November 2023 – Patent Acquisitions and Taxes
November 3, 2023

Patently Enabled October 2023 – Doctrine of Equivalents
October 6, 2023

Patently Enabled September 2023 – What is the On-Sale Bar?
September 1, 2023

Patently Enabled August 2023 - What Are the Types of U.S. Patents?
August 4, 2023

Patently Enabled July 2023 - What is Enablement?
July 7, 2023

Patently Enabled June 2023 - Considering a Patent? Five Points to Consider
June 2, 2023

U.S. Supreme Court Requires Patents Seeking Broad Scope to Be Fully Enabled
May 24, 2023

Anticipating Case and Claim Deadlines in the Age of COVID-19
April 14, 2020

Design Patents: 'Campbell Soup' Stirs the Pot
December 11, 2019

Federal Circuit Invites Do-Overs in Numerous Cases
November 5, 2019

Protecting Trade Secrets When Looking for Funding
March 28, 2019

Supreme Court Directs Patent Office to Change Its IPR Practice
May 1, 2018

Supreme Court Upholds Inter Partes Review of Patents Under Public-Rights Theory
April 26, 2018

A Case of Clear "Impression"
May 31, 2017

TC Heartland Shifts the Patent Litigation Landscape
May 23, 2017

The Time Has Run on Laches Defenses Against Patent Damages
March 23, 2017

Why I Like Being a Mediator
February 22, 2017

Life Technologies Corp. v. Promega Corporation: What No One Is Telling the Supreme Court
September 26, 2016

After Cuozzo, Congress Must Take Back the Ball
July 21, 2016

Cuozzo Puts to Rest Doubts Regarding IPR Claim Construction Standards, Leaves Narrow Scope of Appeal of Institution Decisions
June 22, 2016

Supreme Court's 'Halo' Decision Upends 'Seagate,' Enhancing Enhanced Damages
June 15, 2016

Fed. Circ. Should Clarify Irreparable Harm In Patent Cases
December 2, 2013

Connecticut Now Has Patent Litigation Rules
October 25, 2013

Linking Consumer Demand To Infringing Features
October 25, 2013

News

2026 IP Stars from Managing IP
August 4, 2026

Jonathan B. Tropp Appointed to Federal Grievance Committee for the District of Connecticut
November 21, 2025

2025 IP Stars from Managing IP
June 11, 2025

2024 IP Stars from Managing IP
October 17, 2024

87 Day Pitney Lawyers Named to 2016 Super Lawyers List
November 10, 2016

91 Day Pitney Lawyers Named to 2015 Super Lawyers List
October 30, 2015

Eighty-Four Day Pitney Attorneys Recognized by Super Lawyers for 2014
November 3, 2014

Eighty-eight Day Pitney Attorneys Recognized by *Super Lawyers* for 2013
October 22, 2013

Ninety-eight Day Pitney Attorneys Recognized by *Super Lawyers*
October 22, 2012

In The Media

AI Speeds Up Litigation Tasks But Not Overall Pace Of Cases
Law360, July 8, 2026

Conn.'s Proposed AI Rule Not A Shock To Attys
Law360, April 23, 2026

IP Stars from Managing IP
Managing IP, September 8, 2023

IP Stars from Managing IP
Managing IP, June 16, 2022

"Attorney's Guide for Understanding Copyright Law and Software in 2021," Thompson Reuters, the Global Cyber Institute, and Lexeprint Publishing
January 20, 2022

Anatomy of Patent and Trade Secret Disputes: C&D Letters, Opinion Letters, PTAB Proceedings; ITC Proceedings; Court Proceedings
October 26, 2020

Trumbull Council Diversity Task Force Members
Connecticut Post, September 15, 2020

US Copyright, Trade Secret and Advertising Law Overview
April 27, 2020

Jonathan B. Tropp Discusses Trade Secrets and Unfair Trade Practices for Super Lawyers
March 13, 2020

EMVR Update: Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor International, et al.
May 2, 2019

High Court May Put Limits On Foreign Reach Of US Patents
Law360, June 28, 2016

Richard Brown and Jonathan Tropp Discuss Possible Impact of Octane Ruling on Patent Fee Litigation Practices in *Law360*
Law360, May 29, 2014